

**STAFF MEETING MINUTES
LANCASTER COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS
COUNTY-CITY BUILDING
BILL LUXFORD STUDIO (ROOM 113)
THURSDAY, JUNE 12, 2014
8:30 A.M.**

Commissioners Present: Larry Hudkins, Chair
Brent Smoyer, Vice Chair
Deb Schorr
Jane Raybould
Roma Amundson

Others Present: Gwen Thorpe, Deputy Chief Administrative Officer
Dennis Meyer, Budget and Fiscal Officer
Dan Nolte, County Clerk
Cori Beattie, Deputy County Clerk
Ann Taylor, County Clerk's Office

Advance public notice of the Board of Commissioners Staff Meeting was posted on the County-City Building bulletin board and the Lancaster County, Nebraska, web site and provided to the media on June 11, 2014.

The Chair noted the location of the Open Meetings Act and opened the meeting at 8:31 a.m.

AGENDA ITEM

1 APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES OF THE JUNE 5, 2014 STAFF MEETING

MOTION: Smoyer moved and Raybould seconded approval of the minutes of the June 5, 2014 Staff Meeting.

Amundson felt clarification was needed of the Board's action regarding a fence within the County right-of-way on North 27th Street, south of Mill Road. Amundson and Raybould indicated it was their intent to have the property owner construct the unfinished portion of the fence on his property line, rather than in the right-of-way. Schorr and Smoyer felt the property owner should be allowed to complete the project as planned with the understanding that if he has to move the fence, it will be at his expense. Amundson expressed concern it would be setting a precedent. She said now that the property owner is clear where the boundary line is, he should respect that and construct the remaining portion on his property. Schorr said the property owner was not given that direction and may have completed the project by now.

MOTION: Amundson moved and Raybould seconded to reconsider the motion at the June 5, 2014 Staff Meeting to direct the County Attorney's Office to develop an agreement with the property owner for the fence in the County right-of-way and to file a notice of the agreement with the Register of Deeds Office. Raybould, Amundson, Smoyer, Schorr and Hudkins voted aye. Motion carried 5-0.

There was consensus to: 1) Notify the County Engineer and property owner of the Board's action; 2) Ask the property owner to stop construction of the fence; and 3) Schedule additional discussion with the County Engineer and property owner on the June 19th Staff Meeting agenda.

ROLL CALL ON ORIGINAL MOTION: Amundson, Smoyer, Schorr, Raybould and Hudkins. Motion carried 5-0.

2 ADDITIONS TO AGENDA

- A. Strategic Planning
- B. Department Updates
- C. Tour of County Departments
- D. Informal Meetings of the City Council and County Board Members

MOTION: Schorr moved and Raybould seconded approval of the additions to the agenda. Smoyer, Schorr, Raybould, Amundson and Hudkins voted aye. Motion carried 5-0.

3 BILLING SOFTWARE - District Court Judge Paul D. Merritt, Jr.; Jennifer Kulwicki, District Court Administrator

District Court Judge Paul D. Merritt, Jr. said Jennifer Kulwicki, District Court Administrator, informed the District Court Judges at their last monthly meeting that the Board had raised questions regarding two issues involving the Court: 1) Waiver of jury fees and mileage; and 2) Use of the billing software purchased by the County for the Courts. He said the District Court Judges have asked him to address those issues.

Judge Merritt said a law was passed in 2012 that allows jurors to waive their fees (\$35 per day) and mileage. He said more than \$740 has been waived since the law was enacted. Judge Merritt said jurors receive information about their right to waive fees and mileage and a waiver form at the time they are notified they are in the jury pool. He said the District Court Judges and their staffs will not have discussions with jurors about waiving fees and mileage but do not object to having someone from the Jury Commission address the jurors.

In terms of the billing software program, Judge Merritt said it is his understanding the Juvenile Court began using the software in January for all cases and County Court began using it in March for new cases. He said things are handled differently in District Court, noting there is a statute that dictates how the District Court is to pay private counsel who are appointed to represent individuals charged with felonies when the Public Defender's Office has a conflict. The attorney is to submit a motion and an affidavit attesting to fees and expenses incurred and a proposed order, which are filed through the Judicial Users System to Improve Court Efficiency (JUSTICE) System (the system used for all Court pleadings). If approved, the District Court fixes a reasonable amount to be reimbursed and an order is prepared and filed with the Clerk of the District Court through the JUSTICE System. Judge Merritt said in looking at the billing software, all that is submitted through Justice Works (web-based assigned counsel billing system) is an electronic billing statement. It does not provide for an attestation or a proposed order for the Judge to sign and file. He noted attorneys have the right to appeal if they feel the Judge's decision is inappropriate with respect to the fees and if there is going to be an appeal, it has to be appealed from a record. Judge Merritt said the District Court is concerned that the billing system does not provide a record and does not interface with JUSTICE. He said there is the ability to add portable document format (PDF) attachments which might address the statutory concerns.

Jennifer Kulwicki, District Court Administrator, will contact Justice Works and explain the District Court's concerns and discuss how it could use the system.

Hudkins asked whether the District Court's concerns were relayed when the billing software program was being developed. Judge Merritt said he does not know. He said Kulwicki has tried to contact Dr. Liz Neeley who was involved in a study that looked at assigned counsel costs, to see whether these issues were brought up and hasn't been able to reach her.

Schorr asked whether the County and Juvenile Courts interface with the JUSTICE Systems. Kulwicki indicated they do not.

Schorr also asked whether the County and Juvenile Courts' statutory obligations differ from those of the District Court. Judge Merritt said Theresa Emmert, Juvenile Court Administrator, has indicated the Juvenile Court does not have a statutory obligation to go through this procedure. He said the County Court Judges have discovered a similar statute and said he is not sure how they plan to address it.

- 4 BUDGET UPDATE** - Dennis Meyer, Budget and Fiscal Officer
 - A) REGION V MATCH** - C. J. Johnson, Region V Systems Administrator
 - B) CRISIS CENTER** - Scott Etherton, Crisis Center Director
 - C) COUNTY ATTORNEY** - Joe Kelly, County Attorney
 - D) PUBLIC DEFENDER** - Dennis Keefe, Public Defender
 - E) HUMAN SERVICES** - Kit Boesch, Human Services Administrator

A) Region V Match

Dennis Meyer, Budget and Fiscal Officer, noted Lancaster County is asked to pay \$928,008 in Fiscal Year (FY) 2015 to Region V Systems for Mental Health (\$665,741) and Substance Abuse (\$262,267). That is \$408,650 more than the per capita rate.

NOTE: The per capita rates are \$79,172 for Substance Abuse and \$440,183 for Mental Health.

The Chair asked C. J. Johnson, Region V Systems Administrator, to explain what the County receives for the additional contribution. Johnson said Lancaster County receives \$1,500,000 towards the Crisis Center, noting counties are responsible for the cost of Emergency Protective Custody (EPC's). He said an agreement was made years ago that county dollars would come into Region V, who would funnel it into the Crisis Center. The State subsequently asked all the Regions to refrain from utilizing State dollars for administrative costs and to shift all the County dollars, which were being used for services, to administrative costs. Johnson said the Division of Behavioral Health has made it an issue several times over the last decade to have State dollars used for EPC's and said he remains concerned that "push is going to come." He said the County has been pushing the issue of who is responsible for behavioral health the last couple of years. He said the County is gaining State dollars as a result of the overage and the Region "making that fight for you." Johnson also pointed out:

- Lancaster County constitutes approximately 64% of Region V's population
- 90% of Region V's service dollars go to agencies in Lancaster County (could be reduced to 62% if the County reduces its contribution)
- 80% of the individuals using the services reside in Lancaster County
- 72% of the EPC's are from Lancaster County

In response to a question from Amundson, Johnson acknowledged there is a "drift" of individuals who went into systems in Lancaster County and stayed when they were released. He added that individuals in the Correctional System and Lincoln Regional Center (LRC) are counted in Lancaster County's census, which contributes to more allocation.

Schorr suggested it may be better to pay the \$408,650 directly to the Crisis Center, rather than funneling it through Region V.

Smoyer said the Child Guidance Center has seen a substantial cut in their allocation and is concerned how it will impact outpatient treatment. Johnson said the Legislature cut \$5,000,000 from the public behavioral health system last year so Region V had to reduce its State budget by \$1,100,000. He said the funds Child Guidance is referring to are capacity access guarantee dollars. Johnson said the Region is statutorily prohibited from statutes from expanding services. He also indicated any unspent funds at the end of this fiscal year in Program 38, which is the Division of Behavioral

Health and all the Regions, will be reallocated to the Regions to try to make up the \$5,000,000 cut and said he did not want to "cut" services directly and then appear to expand services once those funds are reallocated. Instead the Region took funds out of the "flex fund pool." Johnson said they will definitely try to revisit the \$5,000,000 cut with the Legislature.

Kit Boesch, Human Services Administrator, appeared and said that has a tremendous impact on an agency. Johnson said Region V has set aside a portion of the "one-time only funding" it received in 2008 to help makeup that difference once it sees what the State allocation will be. He added that Child Guidance has sufficient funds to operate through October if they draw down the same amount of money and by then the Region will know the amount of the allocation from the State that can be redistributed. Boesch remarked that Region V is essentially asking agencies like Child Guidance to maintain their programs and "keep the faith" that they will ultimately get it paid. Johnson responded that agencies such as CenterPointe and St. Monica's do not receive capacity access guarantee dollars like Child Guidance and must "survive" on the rates. Boesch said those are private, non-profit organizations and Child Guidance is the only community mental health center for children.

In response to a question from Amundson, Johnson said Region V is the only Region that puts a set amount of funds towards providing those EPC services. He said they are insuring there are 15 beds available every day by the capacity access guarantee.

Meyer asked Johnson who makes the determination on whether the \$1,500,000 will go to the Crisis Center. Johnson said the State has final approval. Meyer said so even if the County pays more than the per capita rate, the State could decide not to allocate those funds. Johnson said they could say that at any time.

Schorr asked whether there has been an initiative to standardize how EPC's are paid for across the State. Johnson said yes, the way the other Regions do it with a fee for services. Schorr asked whether it would be better to pay by the bed. Scott Etherton, Crisis Center Director, indicated it is better to be paid for a certain number of beds. Boesch concurred.

Johnson said if Lancaster County reduces its contribution the rural counties would likely want equal distribution of the service dollars. Raybould said the County may need the \$408,650 to reallocate it to programs and services the Community Mental Health Center (CMHC) had routinely provided, with reimbursement by Region V or LRC. Johnson said that is an inaccurate statement. He said Region V has been told it will cost an additional \$200,000 to operate the Sex Offender Treatment Program. Johnson said Dr. Mary Paine, who operates the program, is asking for 80% of the \$250,000 Region V allocated to CMHC for outpatient treatment. He noted those funds had provided outpatient services to over 400 clients. Johnson said to put it in perspective, Dr. Paine is asking for 20% of the entire funding for CMHC (\$1,000,000) that was included in the Request for Proposal (RFP) for transition of services to other

providers, adding those funds provided services to 3,000 clients. He said he wants to be very clear that whatever funds were used to run the Sex Offender Treatment Program, they were not Region V's dollars.

Schorr noted the program existed under the CMHC "umbrella" and said Johnson had indicated that if an existing program continues to exist, it can't be an expansion of services. Johnson said the program didn't receive Region V dollars, it received funding from LRC, insurance and client self-pay. Schorr said money shifts back and forth from different "pots" of funding all the time and asked Johnson how he can say with certainty that Region V funding did not go into the program. Gwen Thorpe, who served as CMHC Interim Administrator, said CMHC billed units of service for clients with a mental health diagnosis. She said Johnson is correct that Region V did not run a Sex Offender Treatment Program.

Johnson stressed that Region V is not statutorily responsible for providing sex offender treatment. He said when Legislative Bill (LB) 1099 was passed many years ago, \$14,900,000 was specifically allocated to the Division of Behavioral Health for sex offender treatment. Schorr asked how the County can access those funds. Johnson responded the Legislature would have to agree to either move or add to those funds.

Amundson noted there are 720 sex offenders residing in Lancaster County, which is a safety concern, and said she believes Region V has an obligation to do something.

Raybould asked, for clarification, whether an individual in the Sex Offender Treatment Program, is classified as having a behavioral, mental health disease or diagnosis. Johnson said they could have, such as depression, adding they can go to any of Region V's providers to get therapy for that diagnosis.

Joe Nigro, Deputy Public Defender, appeared and said he handles the mental health docket. He said Dr. Paine's Sex Offender Treatment Program is really the only program that is used, noting individuals released from LRC's inpatient sex offender program are placed there with LRC paying for their treatment. Nigro said other individuals are committed by the Lancaster County Mental Health Board that came from other sources, such as prison, parole or probation. He said it was his understanding that CMHC used units of service from Region V to pay for their treatment and said he takes issue with the assertion that no Region V dollars were used for the program or that the program is an expansion of services. Nigro noted funding for the program ends June 30th. CenterPointe, which is a Region V provider, has expressed interest in the program and may be able to subcontract to Dr. Paine, but needs more units of service to do so.

The Chair asked whether that is a viable option. Johnson said it is something to explore, but said he would have to look at their outpatient and medication management usage to see if those units were shifted into other services.

Thorpe suggested the County work with the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) to try to resolve the issue. Schorr suggested the Board send a letter to HHS requesting an emergency waiver of the regulation regarding expansion of services to provide more time to work through the issue. Johnson said it is a legislative, not departmental, issue. He also pointed out that the Region V Governing Board, rather than the State, determines the distribution of service dollars.

There was consensus to: 1) Request a more detailed budget from Dr. Paine; 2) Notify CenterPointe that the Board would like to explore the option of them subcontracting with Dr. Paine for the Sex Offender Treatment Program; and 3) Schedule further discussion on the June 19th Staff Meeting agenda.

B) Crisis Center

Meyer said the Crisis Center will need an additional \$250,000 over last year transferred from the General Fund because it is picking up expenditures previously covered by the Community Mental Health Center (CMHC). They include administrative costs, such as billing, payroll and human resources.

Scott Etherton, Crisis Center Director, presented Crisis Center Variable Revenue Per Month (Exhibit A). He explained that post-commitment dollars are one of the biggest variables. Etherton said last year they had 66 individuals who were committed on an inpatient basis. They stayed an average of 16 days, on a post-committed status. This year 50 individuals were committed, on a post-committed status, with an average length of stay of 5.5 days. As a result, he has significantly reduced revenue projections.

Schorr asked Etherton whether staffing should be reduced accordingly. Etherton said some positions (registered nurse, psychiatrist and psychologist) are needed regardless of the census. He said he is utilizing an on-call position and that person is sent home if there is a low census. Many positions are covered by a bargaining unit and changes in staffing patterns would have to be negotiated.

Etherton also discussed plans to move to an electronic billing system.

D) Public Defender

Dennis Keefe, Public Defender, and Joe Nigro, Deputy Public Defender, discussed the request for 2.5 additional attorneys (Felony Division and Juvenile Division). Nigro said the case load numbers justify adding an additional felony attorney, he has decided to stay involved with the Adult Drug Court Program after he becomes Public Defender.

NOTE: Keefe did not run for re-election and Nigro ran unopposed and will take office in January. His other dockets will be divided among the other attorneys. That will allow another attorney to handle additional felony cases so they are not asking for an additional felony attorney at this time.

Nigro said they are asking for an additional full-time Juvenile Attorney. There are currently three full-time attorneys assigned to Juvenile Court and four Juvenile Court Judges. Hearings are scheduled one after the other so if judges get behind schedule, then Judge Reggie Ryder, who does not have an attorney primarily assigned to his courtroom, is frequently left waiting. The attorneys do not have time to meet with clients before court, which gives clients the perception they are receiving lower quality legal representation. They are also hitting their caseload numbers.

Nigro noted a grant currently funds a half-time Juvenile Division attorney until the end of the year. He said that attorney, Teresa Nutzman, is handling the re-entry process and said she has unique expertise as she was formerly the head of the Office of Juvenile Service (OJS). Her duties include meeting with clients in the Kearney and Geneva Youth Rehabilitation and Treatment Facilities. He said they are asking to fund that position at 25 hours per week and said if it is not funded, the other full-time attorneys will have to absorb those responsibilities.

Juvenile Court Judge Reggie Ryder appeared in support of the request. He noted a new law will be taking effect that will have a significant impact on the filing of cases in Juvenile Court. All youth who are 17 years old, or younger, when they commit an offense will have their cases filed in Juvenile Court and if there is a request to transfer the case to Adult Court, the youth shall be represented by an attorney at the transfer hearing. Judge Ryder noted the majority of cases in Juvenile Court involving counsel are public defenders or court-appointed attorneys.

Raybould asked whether adding a juvenile attorney would reduce the number of private attorney appointments. Keefe said yes, but said the critical issue is the scheduling.

Schorr asked them to prioritize the request for a full-time and half-time attorney. Nigro said it would be the full-time attorney but said if the other full-time attorneys have to take on the re-entry responsibilities, they will have to adjust their case load numbers and the County will have to appoint more private counsel.

Schorr asked the Public Defender's Office to track attorney costs related to implementation of Legislative Bill (LB) 561, which changed provisions and transfer responsibilities regarding the juvenile justice system.

Judge Ryder added he believes having an attorney involved in the re-entry process is beneficial. Keefe said he believes the County should maintain the position for at least three more years, then assess the outcomes. Raybould asked what benchmarks the County should be tracking. Keefe said fewer kids coming back into the system with new cases after they have been through the re-entry process. He said they are tracking that, as well as any case the Public Defender's Office is taking where there was previously representation by private counsel.

C) County Attorney

Joe Kelly, County Attorney, discussed his request for a paralegal, which he said also relates to Juvenile Court. He noted the Lincoln-Lancaster County Consolidation Task Force recommended that the County Attorney take over the City Attorney's prosecution of juvenile law violations. Kelly said that would require another attorney and paralegal and estimated the cost at \$140,000. He said he has held off on making that request because he wanted to see the impact of LB 464, which will change court jurisdiction over juveniles and indictment procedures. Kelly recommended holding off on consolidation at this time, because there could be costs associated with diversion programs and the Youth Services Center.

Meyer said it is an issue of efficiencies versus cost. He said the City Attorney's Office has indicated it will remain fully staffed and the County will pick up additional costs.

Schorr asked whether the state dollars set aside as a result of the LB 561 initiative that paid the first two years of salary and benefits for a juvenile attorney in the County Attorney's Office could also pay for the half-time attorney in the Public Defender's Office that is doing similar duties. Meyer said Sara Hoyle, Juvenile Justice Coordinator, might know.

E) Human Services

Kit Boesch, Human Services Administrator, discussed her request to increase the part-time (20 hours per week) secretary's position to full-time, explaining the increase in workload and the need to retain Jenni Hoemann, the person in that position, so institutional knowledge is maintained when Boesch retires in December.

Meyer suggested it may be appropriate to take a more comprehensive look at the entire department with Boesch's departure, rather than one piece. Schorr suggested they also look at whether Hoemann has the skill set to support a broader structure.

Boesch was asked to consider increasing the position to 30 hours, rather than full-time.

Amundson asked whether Hoemann is receiving benefits. Cori Beattie, Deputy County Clerk, said there are fewer than 10 part-time (20 hours per week) employees receiving benefits and Hoemann is one.

5 VEHICLE PURCHASE AND AGREEMENT WITH CITY PUBLIC WORKS AND UTILITIES- Norm Agena, County Assessor/Register of Deeds

Vehicle Purchase

Norm Agena, County Assessor/Register of Deeds, said the field tablets the Board approved for the appraisers in his office increased their efficiency and reduced the need for data entry. He said a Land Records Technician recently resigned and he decided to convert the position to an Appraiser Apprentice because he needs more staff in the field. Agena said he then discovered he does not have a vehicle for that person to drive. He said he has funds in his budget to purchase a new vehicle under the State contract, estimating the cost at \$15,500, and asked the Board to approve the purchase and allow him to encumber the funds out of the Fiscal Year (FY) 2013-2014 budget.

Raybould noted the Board recently approved 18 new vehicles for the office and asked when the new Appraiser Apprentice could utilize one of those when they are not in use. Agena said the four Commercial Appraisers are sharing two of the vehicles and the remainder are used by the Residential Appraisers and Apprentices every day of the week.

Schorr asked whether Agena could get a used vehicle instead. Agena said the new vehicle is a good buy and he has the funds in the budget.

Hudkins said some of the surplus vehicles are still serviceable. Agena said maintenance costs on those vehicles are high.

Agena indicated that in addition to the vehicle coming out of his budget, he will be returning funds to the County. Schorr asked the amount. Agena said he guarantees it will be in excess of the amount he has requested to spend.

There was consensus to hold the request.

Agreement with City Public Works & Utilities

Agena said an agreement will be coming before the Board for his office to maintain the land based map for City Public Works & Utilities within the City limits, which will make both departments more efficient. He noted Public Works & Utilities will provide one member of their staff for six months to assist with the conversion. Agena said Public Works & Utilities will pay his department \$15,000 for the first year and \$5,000 each year thereafter.

Raybould said County Engineering is interested in performing this work as well. Agena explained the County Engineer maintains the County's land based map.

RETURNING TO ITEM 4

Bill Jarrett, Chief Deputy County Treasurer, appeared and requested authorization to use up to \$5,000 remaining in the budget to begin upgrading computers in his department that have Microsoft Windows XP. **NOTE:** Microsoft will no longer support the software.

Raybould noted Andy Stebbing, County Treasurer, indicated at his departmental budget hearing that he had prioritized new office chairs in the budget request. Jarrett said that is a different issue and said they may be able to fund it through vacancy savings.

There was consensus to schedule further discussion of Windows XP issues with Information Services (IS) on the June 19, 2014 Staff Meeting agenda.

DISCUSSION OF BOARD MEMBER MEETINGS

H. Public Building Commission (PBC) - Raybould

Raybould said the PBC decided to delay the bid for Phase 1A (Sally Port, Prisoner Holding and Elevator) of the former jail facility (605 South 10th Street) for one month to reconfigure the drive pattern in the Sally Port. She said they are moving ahead with the bids for Phase 1B (General interior demolition of the remainder of the building) and remodeling of the Alfred Benesh & Company (825 J Street) to convert it for the Crisis Center. Discussion also took place regarding parking for City and County fleet vehicles.

Raybould exited the meeting at 11:33 a.m.

A. Lincoln Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) - Smoyer

Smoyer said discussion focused on the South Beltway and recertification for various federal programs.

B. Cornhusker Girls State - Schorr

Schorr said she met with Cornhusker Girls State participants.

C. Parks and Recreation Advisory Board Special Meeting - Hudkins

Hudkins said they discussed hiking, biking and horseback riding trail conflicts in Wilderness Park.

D. Region V Governing Board - Smoyer

Smoyer said the Governing Board authorized the preliminary budgets. He said he relayed the Board's concerns regarding Lancaster County's contribution and funding for the Sexual Trauma/Offense Prevention (STOP) Program.

E. Railroad Transportation Safety District (RTSD) - Amundson, Schorr, Smoyer

Schorr said they reviewed the proposed budget and Capital Improvement Program (CIP). She noted the RTSD voted to recommend a 2.6 cent property tax levy. Amundson and Schorr voted against the recommendation and indicated the County Board will likely reduce the RTSD levy again this year and use it to increase revenue for the County.

ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER REPORT

C. Extension of Maintenance Agreement on Records Management Document Archive Writer for Three (3) Months (July 1 to September 30, 2014 for \$1,057.75)

Brian Pillard, Records & Information Manager, appeared and discussed the request, explaining service calls without a maintenance agreement in place are quite costly.

There was consensus to schedule extension of the maintenance agreement on a regular County Board of Commissioners Meeting agenda for action.

DISCUSSION OF BOARD MEMBERS MEETINGS

I. Board of Health - Amundson

Amundson said Judy Halstead, Lincoln-Lancaster County Health Department (LLCHD) Director, discussed her budget presentation to the City and strategic planning for the department.

6 ACTION ITEMS

There were no action items.

7 CONSENT ITEMS

There were no consent items.

8 ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER REPORT

- A. Food Bank Drive Kick-Off Breakfast (8:00-9:00 a.m. on Wednesday, June 25, 2014 at Nebraska Educational Telecommunications (NET), 1800 North 33rd Street)

Informational only.

- B. Date for One and Six Year Road and Bridge Improvement Program Public Hearing

Item was held.

- C. Extension of Maintenance Agreement on Records Management Document Archive Writer for Three (3) Months (July 1 to September 30, 2014 for \$1,057.75)

Item was moved forward on the agenda.

- D. Tri-County Meeting (Possible Date is Thursday, October 23, 2014)

Minette Genuchi, Administrative Assistant to the County Board, appeared and said she won't be able to confirm the date until July 23rd. **NOTE:** The meeting is scheduled to be held at the Pinnacle Bank Arena and they have a 90-day confirmation policy.

9 PENDING

There were no pending items.

10 DISCUSSION OF BOARD MEMBER MEETINGS

- A. Lincoln Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) - Smoyer
- B. Cornhusker Girls State - Schorr
- C. Parks and Recreation Advisory Board Special Meeting - Hudkins
- D. Region V Governing Board - Smoyer
- E. Railroad Transportation Safety District (RTSD) - Amundson, Schorr, Smoyer

Items A-E were moved forward on the agenda.

- F. Public Building Commission (PBC) Meeting with Mayor - Hudkins

Meeting was cancelled.

G. Meeting with Mayor - Smoyer

Meeting was cancelled.

H. Public Building Commission (PBC) - Raybould

I. Board of Health - Amundson

Items H and I were moved forward on the agenda.

ADDITIONS TO THE AGENDA

A. Strategic Planning

Amundson noted the Board has expressed interest in strategic planning and said she believes it is something they should work on.

B. Department Updates

Amundson felt it would be beneficial to have updates from department heads, on a rotating basis, on what is happening in their departments.

C. Tour of County Departments

Amundson suggested the Board continue to tour County departments. **NOTE:** The Board toured County Engineering facilities in May. Schorr felt the tours would be more beneficial than having the department heads provide updates at a Staff Meeting.

D. Informal Meetings of the City Council and County Board Members

Amundson felt the meetings would improve communication, provide an opportunity to discuss items of mutual interest, and make the City-County Common Meetings more valuable. She said she has discussed the matter with the Mayor and Carl Eskridge, City Council Chair, and plans to bring it forward at a future Common Meeting.

11 EMERGENCY ITEMS AND OTHER BUSINESS

There were no emergency items or other business.

12 ADJOURNMENT

MOTION: Smoyer moved and Schorr seconded to adjourn the meeting at 11:57 a.m. Schorr, Smoyer, Amundson and Hudkins voted aye. Raybould was absent from voting. Motion carried 4-0.



Dan Nolte, Lancaster County Clerk





Crisis Center Variable revenue per month

	Medicaid	Medicare	Pvt Ins	Pvt Pay	R 5 Post C	Out County
January 13	\$7,994	\$690	\$5,044	\$0	\$42,630	\$12,600
Feb	\$8,197	\$0	\$15,287	\$484	\$27,622	\$7,728
March	\$27,143	\$0	\$16,145	\$37	\$18,487	\$8,232
April	\$21,622	\$8,456	\$15,877	\$70	\$15,877	\$10,584
May	\$18,053	\$4,061	\$15,649	\$276	\$42,228	\$30,072
June	\$23,005	\$981	\$7,826	\$193	-\$18,521	-\$11,304
July	\$7,609	\$2,598	\$13,827	\$7,856	\$8,265	\$16,048
August	\$6,572	\$3,872	\$6,871	\$50	\$10,007	\$4,200
September	\$0	\$0	\$3,324	\$49	\$13,788	\$15,288
October	\$0	\$0	\$56	\$46	\$3,780	\$8,320
November	\$42	\$0	\$0	\$50	\$4,447	\$8,772
December	\$716	\$0	\$7,179	\$45	\$2,446	\$12,384
January 14	\$3,767	\$1,322	\$3,539	\$50	\$0	\$10,836
February	\$39,626	\$11,948	\$10,887	\$2,063	\$9,562	\$7,568
March	\$8,834	\$2,110	\$6,263	\$45	\$4,225	\$7,396
April	\$23,282	\$54	\$8,669	\$25	\$0	\$12,728
May						
June						